

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,199	08/29/2001	Mark S. Anvick	Y01-040	6969	
7	590 08/29/2003				
Kenneth W. Float The Law Offices of Kenneth W. Float P.O. Box 80790			EXAMINER		
			FLANDRO, RYAN M		
Rancho Santa l	Margarita, CA 92688		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.	نعس		' /
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)	:/
Advisory Action	09/942,199	ANVICK, MARK S.	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan M Flandro	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre	ess -
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The approperation of the fee.	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mai		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			,
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	•
NOTE:	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	ımendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		LYNNE H. BROWNE ERVISORY PATENT EX ECHNOLOGY CENTER	CAMINER





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments were previously set forth in the response to the first non-final rejection after filing the RCE (paper no 11). The Examiner considered the arguments and responded thereto in the final rejection in paragraph 3 (Paper No. 12, see specifically section 3a of the Office action). Applicant is again directed to Grisley FIGURE 8, column 2 lines 52-53, and column 4 lines 28-34. Grisley clearly shows and discloses a joint wherein the members that are joined have a cavity formed in one member that is only a portion of the thickness of the member, and a tab formed in the other member that is only a portion of the thickness of the other member (FIGURE 8).